V = 1153.6 (9) Å<sup>3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

 $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

1369 independent reflections

1347 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

T = 120 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.027$ 

Z = 4

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# *tert*-Butyl *N*-(3,6-dihydro-1,2-dioxin-4-ylmethyl)carbamate

# Daniel Sejer Pedersen,<sup>a</sup> Dennis K. Taylor<sup>a</sup>‡ and Edward R. T. Tiekink<sup>b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, The University of Adelaide, 5005 South Australia, Australia, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at San Antonio, One UTSA Circle, San Antonio, Texas 78249-0698, USA Correspondence e-mail: edward.tiekink@utsa.edu

Received 3 October 2007; accepted 8 October 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 120 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.038; wR factor = 0.100; data-to-parameter ratio = 9.4.

The six-membered ring in the title compound,  $C_{10}H_{17}NO_4$ , has a half-chair conformation and lies to one side of the central chromophore. Molecules are connected into a supramolecular chain *via* N-H···O hydrogen-bonding interactions and these are consolidated into a three-dimensional structure *via* C-H···O interactions. Two C atoms and two O atoms in the ring are disordered over two positions; the site occupancy factors are *ca* 0.7 and 0.3.

#### **Related literature**

For the synthesis of the *t*-butyl 2-formylallylcarbamate reactant, see Erkkila & Pihko (2006). For the conversion of 1,2dioxines to carbohydrates, see Robinson *et al.* (2006) and Pedersen & Rosenbohm (2001) for some experimental techiques. For calculations on ring puckering, see Cremer & Pople (1975).



#### **Experimental**

#### Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{10}H_{17}NO_4 \\ M_r = 215.25 \\ \text{Orthorhombic, } P2_12_12_1 \\ a = 9.435 \ (4) \ \text{\AA} \\ b = 9.628 \ (4) \ \text{\AA} \\ c = 12.698 \ (6) \ \text{\AA} \end{array}$ 

#### Data collection

Rigaku AFC12k/SATURN724 diffractometer Absorption correction: none 11678 measured reflections

#### Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038 & 145 \text{ parameters} \\ wR(F^2) = 0.100 & H\text{-atom parameters constrained} \\ S = 1.07 & \Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ 1369 \text{ reflections} & \Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1 - H1 \cdots O3^{i}$ $C3 - H3A \cdots O3^{ii}$ $C4 - H4B \cdots O4^{iii}$	0.88 0.99 0.99	2.16 2.52 2.55	2.984 (2) 3.425 (3) 3.446 (3)	156 152 150
Symmetry codes: (i	i) $-x + 1, y$	$y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2};$	(ii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}$	$+1, z + \frac{1}{2};$ (iii)

Symmetry codes. (i)  $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -2 + \frac{1}{2},$  (ii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, 2 + \frac{1}{2}, -x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}.$ 

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku Americas Corporation, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We are grateful to the Australian Research Council for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NG2332).

#### References

Altomare, A., Cascarano, M., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.

Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Release 3.1. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.

Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.

Erkkila, A. & Pihko, P. M. (2006). J. Org. Chem. 71, 2538-2541.

Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

Pedersen, D. S. & Rosenbohm, C. (2001). Synthesis, pp. 2431-2434.

Rigaku Americas Corporation (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku/MSC Inc., The Woodlands, Texas, USA.

Robinson, T. V., Taylor, D. K. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2006). J. Org. Chem. 71, 7236–7244.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

‡ Additional correspondence e-mail: dennis.taylor@adelaide.edu.au.

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, o4301 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807049252]

### tert-Butyl N-(3,6-dihydro-1,2-dioxin-4-ylmethyl)carbamate

### D. Sejer Pedersen, D. K. Taylor and E. R. T. Tiekink

#### Comment

Recently, we reported that 1,2-dioxines can be converted to a wide variety of carbohydrates by dihydroxylation of the olefin, followed by cleavage of the peroxide bond (Robinson *et al.*, 2006). To extend this methodology further, a number of amino dioxines were synthesized, such as the title compound,  $C_{10}H_{17}NO_4$  (I), that could potentially be converted to amino sugars by the same method.

The molecular structure of (I), Fig. 1, features a six-membered ring with a half-chair conformation so that the O atoms lie on either side of the plane defined by the four C atoms. The ring is characterized by a puckering amplitude = 0.618 (2) Å, and values of  $\theta$  = 52.10 (19)° and  $\varphi$  = 28.0 (3)° (Cremer & Pople, 1975). The overall conformation of the molecule is such that the ring lies to one side of the central chromophore. Molecules are connected into a supramolecular chain aligned along the *b* axis by N—H…O hydrogen bonding interactions (Fig. 2 & Table 1). Chains are consolidated into a 3-D structure *via* C—H…O interactions (Fig. 3).

#### **Experimental**

Diphenylmethylphosphonium iodide (41.8 g, 103 mmol) was suspended in anhydrous THF (350 ml) and cooled to 0 °C. Potassium *tert*-butoxide (11.56 g, 103 mmol) was added in one portion to give a yellow slurry that was allowed to warm to room temperature. *tert*-butyl 2-formylallylcarbamate (Erkkila & Pihko, 2006) (17.4 g, 94 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (150 ml) and added to the above suspension by cannula. The resulting mixture was stirred vigorusly overnight under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Half saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (50 ml) was added and the THF removed *in vacuo*. The aqueous phase was transferred to a separatory funnel with water (200 ml) and extracted with 20% ethyl acetate in hexanes, v/v (200 ml + 3 x 100 ml). The combined organic phases were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated *in vacuo* to give a yellow gum. Purification by Dry Column Vacuum Chromatography (Pedersen & Rosenbohm, 2001) (id 6 cm; 20 ml fractions; 10 x hexanes; 2–20% EtOAc in hexanes, v/v - 2% increments; 10 x 20% EtOAc in hexanes, v/v gave *tert*-butyl 2-methylenebut-3-enylcarbamate (3.0 g, 17%) as a tan liquid. This diene (3.0 g, 16.4 mmol) was dissolved for 24 h whilst a stream of oxygen was bubbled through the solution. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* to give a pink gum that was purified by Dry Column Vacuum Chromatography (id 4 cm; 20 ml fractions; 8 x hexanes; 5 – 60% EtOAc in hexanes, v/v - 5% increments) to give dioxine (I) (0.90 g, 26%) as needles.

#### Refinement

All H atoms were included in the riding-model approximation, with C—H = 0.95 to 0.99 Å and N—H = 0.88 Å, and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C, N)$  and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(methyl-C)$ . Disorder was noted in the C4—O1—O2—C4 residue of the six-membered ring and two positions were discerned for the O atoms. The major component (anisotropic) had a site

occupancy factor = 0.706 (5). The absolute structure could not be determined with confidence so the Friedel pairs were averaged.

#### **Figures**



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of (I) showing atom-labelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. The minor component of the disorder was omitted for clarity.

Fig. 2. View of the supramolecular chain in (I) mediated by N—H…O hydrogen bonds, shown as orange-dashed lines. Colour code: red (oxygen), blue (nitrogen), grey (carbon) and green (hydrogen).

Fig. 3. View of the unit-cell contents of (I) down the *b* axis. Colour code for atoms as for Fig. 2. Hydrogen bonding contacts of the type N—H···O and C—H···O are shown as orange- and blue-dashed lines, respectively.

#### tert-Butyl N-(3,6-dihydro-1,2-dioxin-4-ylmethyl)carbamate

Crystal data	
C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>17</sub> NO <sub>4</sub>	$F_{000} = 464$
$M_r = 215.25$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.239 {\rm ~Mg~m^{-3}}$
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71070$ Å
Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab	Cell parameters from 4236 reflections
a = 9.435 (4)  Å	$\theta = 2.2 - 30.4^{\circ}$
b = 9.628 (4)  Å	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 12.698 (6) Å	T = 120 (2)  K
$V = 1153.6 (9) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colorless
Z = 4	$0.40\times0.40\times0.10~mm$

#### Data collection

Rigaku AFC12κ/SATURN724 diffractometer	1347 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.027$
Monochromator: graphite	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.5^{\circ}$
T = 120(2)  K	$\theta_{\min} = 2.7^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = -10 \rightarrow 11$
Absorption correction: none	$k = -10 \rightarrow 12$
11678 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$
1369 independent reflections	

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.100$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0581P)^2 + 0.2125P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.07	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
1369 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.14 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
145 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct	

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Extinction correction: none

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2$ sigma( $F^2$ ) is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R– factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and i.	sotropi	c or e	quivalent	isotrop	ic dis	placement	parameters	$(Å^2$	!
				~~~~~~			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				(	/

	x	у	Ζ	Uiso*/Ueq	Occ. (<1)
O3	0.45338 (14)	0.52172 (13)	0.69574 (12)	0.0403 (3)	
O4	0.33495 (14)	0.72768 (13)	0.68622 (11)	0.0370 (3)	
C3	0.8147 (3)	0.6760 (3)	1.05230 (18)	0.0568 (6)	0.706 (5)
H3A	0.8892	0.6070	1.0690	0.068*	0.706 (5)
H3B	0.8385	0.7636	1.0891	0.068*	0.706 (5)
C4	0.6062 (2)	0.5477 (2)	0.92708 (15)	0.0393 (4)	0.706 (5)

H4A	0.5147	0.5971	0.9347	0.047*	0.706 (5)
H4B	0.5905	0.4671	0.8801	0.047*	0.706 (5)
01	0.6742 (3)	0.6237 (2)	1.09082 (16)	0.0500 (8)	0.706 (5)
O2	0.6513 (2)	0.4980 (2)	1.02975 (15)	0.0429 (7)	0.706 (5)
C3A	0.8147 (3)	0.6760 (3)	1.05230 (18)	0.0568 (6)	0.294 (5)
H3C	0.9137	0.6753	1.0782	0.068*	0.294 (5)
H3D	0.7621	0.7501	1.0896	0.068*	0.294 (5)
C4A	0.6062 (2)	0.5477 (2)	0.92708 (15)	0.0393 (4)	0.294 (5)
H4C	0.5113	0.5591	0.8949	0.047*	0.294 (5)
H4D	0.6361	0.4497	0.9199	0.047*	0.294 (5)
O1A	0.7525 (6)	0.5504 (6)	1.0696 (4)	0.0480 (18)*	0.294 (5)
O2A	0.6048 (6)	0.5901 (6)	1.0373 (4)	0.0443 (16)*	0.294 (5)
N1	0.56110 (16)	0.72726 (15)	0.73168 (12)	0.0330 (3)	
H1	0.5514	0.8181	0.7329	0.040*	
C1	0.71146 (19)	0.64320 (18)	0.87711 (14)	0.0317 (4)	
C2	0.8118 (2)	0.7010(2)	0.93588 (16)	0.0402 (5)	
H2	0.8817	0.7582	0.9041	0.048*	
C5	0.69754 (18)	0.6658 (2)	0.76017 (14)	0.0336 (4)	
H5A	0.7748	0.7278	0.7360	0.040*	
H5B	0.7083	0.5757	0.7235	0.040*	
C6	0.45012 (19)	0.64797 (18)	0.70358 (13)	0.0314 (4)	
C7	0.2026 (2)	0.6673 (2)	0.64337 (17)	0.0412 (5)	
C8	0.1393 (2)	0.5642 (2)	0.7209 (2)	0.0512 (6)	
H8A	0.2016	0.4832	0.7268	0.077*	
H8B	0.0458	0.5347	0.6960	0.077*	
H8C	0.1298	0.6084	0.7901	0.077*	
С9	0.1067 (2)	0.7929 (3)	0.6341 (3)	0.0623 (7)	
H9A	0.0893	0.8317	0.7042	0.093*	
H9B	0.0164	0.7651	0.6022	0.093*	
H9C	0.1523	0.8632	0.5897	0.093*	
C10	0.2326 (3)	0.6033 (3)	0.53635 (19)	0.0593 (7)	
H10A	0.2947	0.5225	0.5450	0.089*	
H10B	0.2792	0.6721	0.4912	0.089*	
H10C	0.1433	0.5740	0.5038	0.089*	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\text{\AA}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	U <sup>22</sup>	U <sup>33</sup>	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
O3	0.0397 (7)	0.0282 (7)	0.0530 (8)	-0.0002 (5)	-0.0028 (6)	-0.0033 (6)
O4	0.0308 (6)	0.0281 (6)	0.0521 (7)	-0.0014 (5)	-0.0070 (6)	-0.0002 (6)
C3	0.0668 (15)	0.0525 (13)	0.0513 (12)	-0.0174 (13)	-0.0236 (12)	0.0077 (10)
C4	0.0340 (9)	0.0463 (11)	0.0376 (9)	-0.0043 (8)	-0.0034 (8)	0.0026 (8)
O1	0.0606 (15)	0.0528 (14)	0.0366 (10)	-0.0119 (12)	0.0015 (11)	-0.0054 (9)
O2	0.0519 (12)	0.0383 (12)	0.0384 (10)	-0.0098 (10)	-0.0043 (9)	0.0065 (8)
C3A	0.0668 (15)	0.0525 (13)	0.0513 (12)	-0.0174 (13)	-0.0236 (12)	0.0077 (10)
C4A	0.0340 (9)	0.0463 (11)	0.0376 (9)	-0.0043 (8)	-0.0034 (8)	0.0026 (8)
N1	0.0326 (8)	0.0274 (7)	0.0390 (8)	-0.0008 (6)	-0.0047 (6)	0.0008 (6)
C1	0.0297 (8)	0.0268 (8)	0.0386 (9)	0.0035 (7)	-0.0015 (7)	-0.0013 (7)

C2	0.0384 (10)	0.0337 (9)	0.0484 (10)	-0.0047 (9)	-0.0084 (9)	0.0022 (8)
C5	0.0274 (8)	0.0344 (9)	0.0391 (9)	-0.0002 (7)	0.0009 (7)	0.0005 (7)
C6	0.0330 (9)	0.0282 (8)	0.0329 (8)	-0.0008 (7)	-0.0008 (7)	0.0002 (7)
C7	0.0292 (9)	0.0395 (10)	0.0551 (11)	-0.0065 (8)	-0.0074 (9)	0.0022 (9)
C8	0.0383 (10)	0.0475 (12)	0.0677 (14)	-0.0083 (9)	0.0038 (10)	0.0065 (11)
C9	0.0337 (10)	0.0512 (13)	0.102 (2)	-0.0017 (11)	-0.0148 (12)	0.0145 (14)
C10	0.0469 (12)	0.0782 (18)	0.0527 (12)	-0.0201 (13)	-0.0100 (10)	-0.0062 (12)
Geometric paran	neters (Å. °)					
03 C6		1 220 (2)	N1	C6	1 34	4 (2)
04 C6		1.220(2) 1.349(2)	N1	C5	1.54	$\frac{1}{2}$ (2)
04-07		1.349(2) 1.481(2)	N1	С5 H1	0.88	2 (2)
$C_{4}$		1.401(2) 1.500(4)	[N]—	C2	0.88	7 (3)
$C_{3} = C_{1}^{2}$		1.300 (4)	C1—	C2	1.52	7 (3)
C3—H3A		0.9900	C1—	сэ нэ	0.95	/ ( <i>3</i> )
C3—H3B		0.9900	C2—	H5A	0.95	00
$C_{4}$		1.453(3)	C5—	H5R	0.99	00
C4 - C1		1.495 (3)	C3—	C9	1.51	5 (3)
C4—H4A		0.9900	C7	C10	1.51	9(3)
C4—H4B		0.9900	C7—	C8	1.51	0(3)
01-02		1 454 (3)	C8—	H8A	0.98	00
C3A - 01A		1.362 (6)	C8—	H8B	0.98	00
C3A—C2		1.498 (3)	C8—	H8C	0.98	00
СЗА—НЗС		0.9900	С9—	H9A	0.98	00
C3A—H3D		0.9900	С9—	H9B	0.98	00
C4A—O2A		1.458 (6)	С9—	Н9С	0.98	00
C4A—C1		1.495 (3)	C10–	-H10A	0.98	00
C4A—H4C		0.9900	C10–	-H10B	0.98	00
C4A—H4D		0.9900	C10–	-H10C	0.98	00
01A—02A		1.502 (8)				
C6—O4—C7		121.07 (14)	C1—	C2—C3A	120.	0 (2)
O1—C3—C2		111.0 (2)	C1—	С2—Н2	120.	0
O1—C3—H3A		109.4	С3—	С2—Н2	120.	0
С2—С3—НЗА		109.4	C3A-	C2H2	120.	0
O1—C3—H3B		109.4	N1—	C5—C1	112.	28 (15)
С2—С3—Н3В		109.4	N1—	С5—Н5А	109.	1
НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ		108.0	C1—	С5—Н5А	109.	1
O2—C4—C1		112.89 (17)	N1—	С5—Н5В	109.	1
O2—C4—H4A		109.0	C1—	С5—Н5В	109.	1
C1—C4—H4A		109.0	H5A-	C5H5B	107.	9
O2—C4—H4B		109.0	O3—	C6—N1	124.	61 (17)
C1—C4—H4B		109.0	O3—	С6—О4	125.	03 (17)
H4A—C4—H4B		107.8	N1—	C6—O4	110.	36 (14)
O2—O1—C3		103.7 (2)	04—	С7—С9	102.	64 (17)
C4—O2—O1		104.33 (18)	04—	C7—C10	109.	30 (17)
O1A—C3A—C2		107.1 (3)	С9—	C7—C10	111.	4 (2)
O1A—C3A—H3C	2	110.3	04—	С7—С8	110.	44 (17)
С2—С3А—НЗС		110.3	С9—	С7—С8	109.	71 (19)

O1A—C3A—H3D	110.3		С10—С7—С8		112.8 (2)
C2—C3A—H3D	110.3		С7—С8—Н8А		109.5
H3C—C3A—H3D	108.6		С7—С8—Н8В		109.5
O2A—C4A—C1	104.0 (3)		H8A—C8—H8B		109.5
O2A—C4A—H4C	111.0		С7—С8—Н8С		109.5
C1—C4A—H4C	111.0		H8A—C8—H8C		109.5
O2A—C4A—H4D	111.0		H8B—C8—H8C		109.5
C1—C4A—H4D	111.0		С7—С9—Н9А		109.5
H4C—C4A—H4D	109.0		С7—С9—Н9В		109.5
C3A—O1A—O2A	97.5 (4)		Н9А—С9—Н9В		109.5
C4A—O2A—O1A	100.5 (4)		С7—С9—Н9С		109.5
C6—N1—C5	121.46 (14)		Н9А—С9—Н9С		109.5
C6—N1—H1	119.3		Н9В—С9—Н9С		109.5
C5—N1—H1	119.3		C7-C10-H10A		109.5
C2—C1—C4	119.53 (17)		C7-C10-H10B		109.5
C2—C1—C4A	119.53 (17)		H10A—C10—H10B		109.5
C2—C1—C5	123.74 (18)		С7—С10—Н10С		109.5
C4—C1—C5	116.71 (16)		H10A-C10-H10C		109.5
C4A—C1—C5	116.71 (16)		H10B—C10—H10C		109.5
C1—C2—C3	120.0 (2)				
C2—C3—O1—O2	58.0 (2)		C5—C1—C2—C3A		178.1 (2)
C1—C4—O2—O1	57.4 (2)		O1—C3—C2—C1		-18.2 (3)
C3—O1—O2—C4	-77.6 (2)		O1—C3—C2—C3A		0(100)
C2—C3A—O1A—O2A	-67.3 (4)		O1A—C3A—C2—C1		27.2 (4)
C1—C4A—O2A—O1A	-66.0 (4)		O1A—C3A—C2—C3		0(100)
C3A—O1A—O2A—C4A	93.9 (4)		C6—N1—C5—C1		-93.6 (2)
O2—C4—C1—C2	-16.9 (3)		C2-C1-C5-N1		-120.2 (2)
O2—C4—C1—C4A	0(11)		C4—C1—C5—N1		60.9 (2)
O2—C4—C1—C5	162.06 (17)		C4A—C1—C5—N1		60.9 (2)
O2A—C4A—C1—C2	24.3 (3)		C5—N1—C6—O3		-2.6 (3)
O2A—C4A—C1—C4	0(38)		C5—N1—C6—O4		176.90 (14)
O2A—C4A—C1—C5	-156.7 (3)		C7—O4—C6—O3		-7.0 (3)
C4—C1—C2—C3	-3.0 (3)		C7—O4—C6—N1		173.47 (15)
C4A—C1—C2—C3	-3.0 (3)		С6—О4—С7—С9		-178.27 (18)
C5—C1—C2—C3	178.1 (2)		C6—O4—C7—C10		-59.9 (2)
C4—C1—C2—C3A	-3.0 (3)		C6—O4—C7—C8		64.8 (2)
C4A—C1—C2—C3A	-3.0 (3)				
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)					
D—H···A		<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
N1—H1···O3 <sup>i</sup>		0.88	2.16	2.984 (2)	156
C3—H3A···O3 <sup>ii</sup>		0.99	2.52	3.425 (3)	152

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (ii) -x+3/2, -y+1, z+1/2; (iii) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+3/2.

0.99

2.55

3.446 (3)

150

C4—H4B…O4<sup>iii</sup>









Fig. 3